Site Reference:	Location	Post Code	Ward	Questionnaire Sent	Questionnaires Received	Response Rate	Approval Rating	Objection Rating	No Opinion Rating	Consultation Response	Officer Comments
						%	%	%	%		
BH101	Leighton Gardens	NW10	Queens Park/ Brondesbury Park	41	8	19%	63%	38%	0%	We agree and think this is a very good idea. There is not enough space for cars now. Every parking space in this area is far too precious to replace with bike hangar. There is not enough parking spaces We fully support this proposal. Fine by me. 1) Leighton Gardens is a very narrow, congested road. Unlike neighbouring roads, there is only room for 1 vehicle to pass at any time. As such, space is at a premium particularly at the busiest end of the street where you intend to put it, because of the junction with Chamberlayne Road, the busiest street in the ward. Paradoxically, this will increase noise, congestion, and pollution. 2) For those of us who park at this end of the street - I live at 1A Leighton Gardens, London NW10 3PX, this makes life considerably more difficult. We already are unable to park near our homes and will now have to park much further away, within the KL zone, sometimes at the other end of the street. But we have all paid the Council for our parking which is set to be reduced. 3) It will be located directly underneath a large tree as any site visit will confirm. This means we can expect to see a large number of unsightly and unhygienic bird droppings to quickly accumulate, building up cleaning expenses for the council and lowering the impression of the road. 4) There doesn't appear to be any demand for it. I have only ever seen one bike parked on the pavement in the whole of Leighton Gardens in 2 years. This street has many houses with empty front gardens and sizeable rear gardens. This is a street occupied mostly by families who drive cars, not people who commute by bicycle and I doubt that pattern would change with this hanger. If	Officer have noted the supportive comments. Officers note the parking pressure comments and the road width restrictions. Officers are of the view that the secure cycle parking unit will not add substantial parking pressure to the residents. The Nests are 3m in length and are considered to take only half a car park space. The nest will not cause any obstruction to the flow of traffic. The nest is located away from the Tree and therefore the potential of bird droppings should be minimal. The contract will cover two maintenance visits per year, which also covers cleaning of the Nests. We currently have 10 lives request from this area. Officer believes there is a demand for the bike Nest within area. The Council has received several responses to the consultation and are of the view that consultation documents have been reached by those consulted. Officers recommend progressing this location to installation as proposed.

										anyone does use the bike hanger, there will be merely moving the bike from their private premises, not getting rid of their cars. This is effectively private gain at the expense of public space. How is that progress? 5) I have looked at many bike hangers across London and Brent. None of them are placed in such a congested space. They are typically set in places where there is plenty of space - which cyclists need too. This location really is an outlier. If there must be one in the area, it would be more sensible to consider opposite the parade of shops on Okehampton Road or in the large, often empty car park of the Constitutional Club on Hardinge Road. 6) More worryingly, no one locally I have spoken to appears to have received the actual survey through the post, only notification of its existence from the Councillors. And the online survey does not appear to work correctly, so I can't see how there is a local mandate for this invasive piece of street furniture clearly in the wrong place. **Other Responses** LAS – agreed with the proposed bike hangar	
BH 52	Rockhall Road	NW2	Mapesbury Ward	71	9	13%	78%	22%	0%	I am not happy with the proposed we don't need it, no space on the road Very positive move Certainly agree. I applied for a bike hangar more than 3 years ago Its very welcome, this area is very deprived of secure cycle parking Yes but the hangar should be located on the other side of Rockhall Road Road will be busier, More theft **Other Responses** London Ambulance Service – (Neasden Lane) have No Opinion Brent Mencap – agreed with the proposal	Officer have noted the supportive comments. Officers note the parking pressure comments and the road width restrictions. Officers are of the view that the secure cycle parking unit will not add substantial parking pressure to the residents. The Nests are 3m in length and are considered to take only half a car park space. The nest will not cause any obstruction to the flow of traffic. Officers recommend progressing this location to installation as proposed.
BH 55	Hardinge Road	NW10	Brondesbury Park	31	6	19%	67%	33%	0%	**Other Responses** Brent Ambulance Station – have no opinion on the proposal Brent Mencap –agreed with the proposal	Officers note the support for the secure cycle parking unit and recommend to progress with the installation of this location.
BH 56 & 57	Oman Avenue	NW2	Mapesbury	71	5	7%	####	0%	0%	They'll be a great upgrade to the neighbourhood I think this is a great idea as it encourages people to get on bikes which is good Excellent idea and would like to know the annual fee and	Officers note the support for the secure cycle parking unit and recommend to progress with the installation of this location.

										safety guarantee given by the council Brent Mencap – agreed with the proposal	
BH 60	Anson Road	NW2	Mapesbury	78	7	9%	71%	29%	0%	Waste of money. Those hangars if used are often broken into. I'd rather not attact further crime on my street This design is too large and will be another structure that attracts graffiti artists. I live on Anson Rd and have not received anything about the hangar proposed at junction Anson /Chichele Roads. It is already very difficult walking on footpath by doctor surgery snd Islamic Centre on Anson Rd as there are overhanging hedges, tree roots sticking up and bins often left out as well as badly(over kerbs) parked cars for both these venues. I think a bike hangar would add to this problem and am concerned no consultation has taken place locally to ascertain wether the hangar would be used or just become yet another item of street furniture. To have this bike hangar in Anson Rd is of vital importance to our block Great idea - there's no bike parking around here. Should be more of these I am a cyclist and would love to use it Fantastic these are being proposed - we need more than one and would be happy for them to be on hichele Rd **<u>Other Responses</u>** Brent Mencap – agreed with the proposed bike hangar	Officers note the support for the secure cycle parking unit. The Bike Nests are Solid steel frame and cladding and are Trusted and Certified Sold Secure Diamond Standard). We will monitor the location for antisocial and relocate the Nest should there be a need to do so. Officers recommend to progress with the installation of this location.

BH 62	Dartmouth Road	NW2	Mapesbury	36	9	25%	56%	33%	11%	As a cyclist I am supportive of cycling infrastructure, but I can't see that this is a useful place for a hangar. As a long term resident of Dartmouth Rd, and living opposite the proposed site, I would say there will be limited demand. There are already several bike hangars nearby eg Lydford Rd and I think that the junction of Mapesbury/Dartmouth is very busy There is an invalid parking space which is in front of the no24 Dartmouth Road I support encouraging urban cycling, but cannot support this proposal. First, the design of the shelter is poor with no reflectors or lighting I strongly support any actions which redress the balance away from motorised vehicles and towards active travel solutions. I have concerns about the safety component with attracting thieves. Already face unsavoury behaviour at times, litter etc This is dark area due to overhanging trees so the lighting may need improving. **Other Responses** London Ambulance Service – have no opinion on the proposal Brent Mencap – agreed with the proposal	Officers note the support for the secure cycle parking unit. The Bike Nests are Solid steel frame and cladding and are Trusted and Certified Sold Secure Diamond Standard). The Bike Nests will have reflector strips to ensure visibility. Officers are of the view that the secure cycle parking unit will not add substantial parking pressure to the residents. The Nests are 3m in length and are considered to take only half a car park space. there are currently seven live requests within this area. Officers recommend to progress with the installation of this location.
BH 63 & 64	Grosvenor Gardens	NW2	Mapesbury	55	5	11%	50%	17%	33%	We all as a family feel strongly about providing facilities for locals to store bikes safely Not needed, electric charging points are necessary **Other Responses** Brent Mencap – agreed with the proposal.	Officers note the support for the secure cycle parking unit. The request for Electrical Vehicle Chargers have been noted and will reviewed should funding become available. Officers recommend to progress with the installation of this location.
BH 65	Torbay Road	NW6	Kilburn	94	10	11%	80%	20%	0%	I agree as the proposed position is in Torbay Road Will take away parking and make the road narrower Proposed will create a negative visual intrusion impact as our main living space windows look directly out on it All for sustainable and eco-friendly travel in Brent! **Other Responses** Brent Mencap agreed with the proposal	Officers note the support for the secure cycle parking unit. The proposal for the cycle parking unit is on Existing Double Yellow Lines, there will be no negative impact on parking. Officers note comments regarding intrusion impact and note the properties have fencing, the bike nests lengths are lower than that of the fence. Officers have noted a high demand for secure cycle parking and are of the view that the installation of the proposal will be beneficial to wider local residents and recommend to progress with the installation of this location

BH 66	Willesden Lane	NW6	Kilburn	95	12	13%	83%	0%	17%	It seems it would be useful to have even more than 6 spots Important for the people that don't have storage to place their bike and very convenient No objection All for sustainable travel in Brent! We would not use it but think it's a great facility storage for only 6 bikes in an area with 100s residents is clearly inadequate. Further hangars shld be installed **Other Responses** Brent Mencap – agreed with the proposal	Officers note the support for the secure cycle parking unit and recommend to progress with the installation of this location.